

# AGENDA

## STEERING COMMITTEE OF THE ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION PARTNERSHIP

Thursday, February 26, 2009  
10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.  
Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments  
Board Room, Third Floor  
777 North Capitol Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20002

*Note: Lunch will be available for Steering Committee members at the lunch break during the meeting.*

- I. **Call to Order** .....Steve Pattison, MDE; Chair  
(10:00 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.)
  - A. **Welcome to Eric Siegel**
    - 1. **Introduction of Steering Committee Members**
    - 2. **Remarks by Mr. Siegel**

Mr. Siegel, the newest member of the Steering Committee will be welcomed and afforded an opportunity to make introductory remarks. He is a member of the board of the Capitol Riverfront Business Improvement District in the District of Columbia.
  - B. **Approval of December 4, 2008 Meeting Summary**
  - C. **Review of Agenda**
- II. **Follow up on Action Items from the October Meeting** .....Steve Pattison, MDE; Chair  
(10:15 a.m. to 10:25 a.m.)
  - A. **Action Items from the December Meeting**

Mr. Pattison will review appropriate entries on the "Action Items" list that were agreed to at the December Steering Committee meeting. Some items are to be covered later in the meeting.
  - B. **Recognition of Jim Foster, New President of the Anacostia Watershed Society**

Mr. Foster was recently selected to succeed Robert Boone as the President of the Anacostia Watershed Society. In recognition of his role in the restoration of the Anacostia watershed, he has been invited to address the Steering committee.
- III. **Executive Director's Report** ..... Dana Minerva  
(10:25 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.)
  - A. **Report and/or Brief Updates on Several Topics**
    - 1. **Update on Action Agenda**
    - 2. **Report on Anacostia Funding Strategy**
    - 3. **Report on Funding Opportunities**
    - 4. **Miscellaneous**
- IV. **Report on Montgomery County's MS4 Permit** .....Bob Hoyt, MC DEP

(10:50 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)

MDE has now issued a "Notice of Final determination to Issue Permit" for Montgomery County's stormwater permit. The permit will be issued as final unless MDE receives a request for a contested case hearing by March 19, 2009. Mr. Hoyt will review the anticipated content of the permit, emphasizing those aspects expected to have an impact on Anacostia restoration and highlight those areas where the Partnership may be of assistance.

**V. Program Synergy ..... Steve Pattison**  
(11:20 a.m. to 11:50 a.m.)

Mr. Pattison will provide an overview and lead a discussion of the "synergy" of key elements of the Anacostia Restoration Program: the Anacostia Restoration Plan, the Action Agenda, the Finding Strategy and the Communication Strategy.

**VI. Lunch**  
(11:50 a.m. to 12:10 p.m.)

**VII. Anacostia Restoration Plan ..... Amy Guise, USACE; Nancy Stoner, NRDC**  
(12:10 p.m. to 12:40 p.m.)

Ms. Guise will provide an update on progress on the AWRP since the last Steering Committee meeting. Ms. Stoner will introduce and lead a discussion of potential enhancements to the AWRP related to the intensive use of LID and land use, zoning and land acquisition.

**VIII. Management Committee Report..... Ken Yetman, DNR**  
(12:40 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.)

**A. Monitoring Report**

Mr. Yetman & Mr. Galli will present the draft Monitoring Report prepared per Steering Committee request.

**IX. Toxics Reduction ..... Jon Capacasa, USEPA**  
(1:00 p.m. to 1:20 p.m.)

Mr. Capacasa will provide a status report on the Toxics Reduction Strategy, reviewing the near final "White Paper" and outlining next steps.

**X. AWCAC Report .....Mary Barber**  
(1:20 p.m. to 1:30 a.m.)

**XI. Standing Update Reports**  
(1:30 p.m. to 1:50 p.m.)

**A. Maryland Stormwater Regulations .....Steve Pattison**  
Mr. Pattison will provide an update on the pending Stormwater Regulations.

**B. Trash TMDL & DC "Bag" Legislation ..... Rich Eskin, MDE; Dana Minerva**

Mr. Eskin will provide an update on the Trash TMDL. Ms Minerva will provide an overview of the legislation introduce in the District of Columbia City Council to impose a 5 cent fee on both paper and plastic bags.

**XII. Items from Members .....Steering Committee Members**  
(1:50 p.m. to 1:55 p.m.)

This is an opportunity for Steering Committee members to bring up a topic not otherwise covered in the agenda.

**XIII. Other Business**  
(1:55 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.)

**XIV. Adjourn**  
(2:00 p.m.)

### Agenda Support Material

Agenda Item	Supporting Material
I. Call to Order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Agenda</li><li>• Meeting Summary for December SC Meeting</li><li>• Resume of Mr. Eric Siegel</li></ul>
II. Action Items	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Action Item list from the October SC Meeting</li></ul>
III. ED Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Draft Funding Strategy</li></ul>
V. Program Synergy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Anacostia Watershed Restoration Goals (Flow Chart)</li></ul>
VIII. Management Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Draft Monitoring Plan</li></ul>
IX. Toxic Reduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Toxics Reduction White Paper</li></ul>

### Potential Topics for April Steering Committee Meeting

- University of Maryland-Partnership MOU - Hearle
- Report on Redevelopment LID Workshop – Graham
- Report on the AWCAC Advocacy Workshop – Barber
- Communication Strategy – Minerva
- Monitoring Plan Discussion – Yetman
- Annual Reporting & Post-2010 Goals - Yetman

### Calendar of Events

Month	Steering Committee*	Management Committee	AWCAC**	Special Events
<b>Calendar Year 2009</b>				
January				
February	26			
March		5	7 – AWCAC Workshop on Advocacy	
April	23			
May				
June	25	18		
July				
August	27			
September		17		
October	22			
November				
December	17	10		

\* In 2009, to avoid continued conflicts with DC-WASA Board meetings, the Steering Committee Meetings will be held on the fourth Thursday of every other month except for the December meeting which will be held on December 17.

\*\* All AWCAC meetings are held at the Visitor Center at the M-NCPPC Bladensburg Waterfront Park, beginning at 7:30 p.m.

## -DRAFT-

### MEETING SUMMARY

### STEERING COMMITTEE OF THE ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION PARTNERSHIP

**Thursday, December 4, 2008**

The 15<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Anacostia Restoration Partnership Steering Committee was held on Thursday, October 2, 2008 in the Training Center on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor at COG. The attendees, in alphabetical order, were:

	Name		Organization
Dr.	Mary	Barber	Anacostia Watershed Citizens Advisory Committee
Ms.	Tracy	Bowen	Guest (Alice Ferguson Foundation)
Mr.	Tom	Brosnan	NOAA
Mr.	Jon	Capacasa	USEPA
Ms.	Brooke	DeRenzis	Guest (DC Appleseed)
Mr.	Andy	Fellows	Clean Water Action
Ms.	Carol	Hearle	University of Maryland
Mr.	John	Galli	MWCOG
Mr.	Bill	Gardiner	City of Hyattsville
Dr.	Ted	Graham	MWCOG
Ms.	Linda	Howard	The Summit Fund
Mr.	Wood	Hudson	MWCOG
Dr.	Hamid	Karimi	District of Columbia DOE
Ms.	Catherine	King	USEPA
Ms.	Dana	Minerva	Anacostia Watershed Partnership – Executive Director
Dr.	Sam	Moki	Prince George’s County DER
Mr.	Robert	Pace	USACE
Mr.	Stephen	Pattison	Maryland Department of the Environment
Ms.	Harriette	Phelps	Guest (UDC)
Mr.	Steve	Shofar	Montgomery County DEP
Ms.	Nancy	Stoner	NRDC
Mr.	Phong	Trieu	MWCOG
Mr.	David	Tuchmann	Akridge
Mr.	Ken	Yetman	Maryland DNR

The National Park Service was not represented.

<b>Follow Up to the Anacostia Steering Committee Meeting of December 4, 2008</b> (Updated: February 20, 2008)			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Lead</b>	<b>Status</b>
SC Meeting	1. Distribute Draft October Meeting Summary 2. Prepare agenda for 2/26/09 SC Meeting; Include: MC's MS4 permit (Hoyt); Funding Strategy; 5-year monitoring Strategy; MOU with UMD	Graham	1. Complete 2. Complete
Action Agenda	1. SC members comment on form for AA updates and provide to D. Minerva by 12/31/08 2. Prepare updated AA	1. SC Members 2. Minerva (w/ Shofar, Karimi, Moki, Yetman)	1. Complete 2. Updated form to be distributed by SC meeting
Funding Strategy	1. Meet week of 12/15/08 2. Present recommendations at 2/26/09 SC meeting	Minerva	1. Complete 2. Draft strategy to be distributed by SC meeting
Project List for Federal Funding	1. Prepare/update WRDA list 2. Prepare candidate list for stimulus consideration	Minerva (w/ support from Shofar, Karimi, Yetman, Moki)	Complete
Communication Strategy	1. Distribute Strategy by 1/15/09 2. Prepare CBT grant for web review	1. Minerva 2. Minerva	In progress
Welcome to new SC member E. Siegel	1. Send welcoming letter 2. Prepare briefing	Graham; Minerva	Complete
UMD-Partnership MOU	Draft proposed revisions for MOU & present at 2/26/09 SC meeting	Hearle; Minerva	In progress
Green Infrastructure Workshop (March 2009)	Provide update at 2/26/09 SC meeting	Graham	Report at April SC meeting
Monitoring	Prepare 5-year plan for presentation at 2/26/09 SC meeting (link to Funding Strategy)	Galli; Yetman	Draft report prepared
Toxics Remediation Plan	1. Complete and report on "White Paper" at 2/26/09 SC meeting	Capacasa	"Near final" report complete
Toxics Conversation	Convene ad hoc group to broadly review toxics issues	Minerva	Pending
Restoration Plan	Prepare & conduct a Hill Briefing	Dana Minerva & Robert Pace	Completed December 15, 2008
Synergy of the ARP, FS, AA & CS	Present to the SC at the February 26 meeting	Pattison	To be presented at the SC meeting
Bylaws	Review and revise to reflect maturing of the Partnership, keyed to Roles & Responsibilities. (No specified date, perhaps early 2009.)	COG (Graham)	Pending

- XV. Call to Order** .....Steve Pattison, MDE; Chair  
Mr. Pattison called the meeting to order at 10:07. Mary Barber moved approval of the summary of the October 4, 2008 meeting (noting several typos to be addressed). Jon Capacasa seconded the motion which carried unanimously.
- XVI. Follow up on Action Items from the October Meeting** ..... Steve Pattison  
Mr. Pattison led a discussion of the “Action Items” from the October 4, 2008 meeting. An updated version (reflecting the December 4 meeting) is included (p. 2).
- XVII. Executive Director’s Report**..... Dana Minerva  
Ms. Minerva reported on several key topics:

**MS4 Permitting** – Ms. Minerva attended the public hearing on Montgomery County’s draft MS4 permit conducted by MDE in Rockville on November 19, 2008. She was “stunned” to see what the County has committed to do, including the development of TMDL implementation plans. She observed that it is important for the Steering Committee to be aware of the County’s commitments and should be committed to assist the Counties and the District in any way possible to implement these requirements. In this vein, she testified at the District Council hearing on behalf of the proposed fee to pay for stormwater program implementation.

Dr. Moki observed that it’s fine to say, “Do more,” as long as one also advocates for more resources. Dr. Karimi, who also attended the hearing in Rockville, noted that these MS4 permits are written for a specific jurisdiction, but that the resources have to be managed as a watershed; communication and consistency are key aspects of this. Ms. Hearle asked if inclusion of a requirement in an MS4 permit precludes grant funding; Mr. Capacasa indicated that EPA is working on that issue and stressed the importance of transferring “best practices” from one place to another. Ms. Howard stressed the need to be in a “common conversation” across the watershed.

Ms. Minerva recommended that Bob Hoyt be requested to provide a briefing on the Montgomery County MS4 permit at the February 26, 2009 Steering Committee meeting and include in his presentation specific ways the Partnership can help Montgomery County.

**Action Agenda** – The plan is to have an annual update of the Action Agenda. To this end, Ms. Minerva will work with Mr. Yetman (Management Committee Chair) on the design of a form for members to provide updated information, the schedule for submittal of those forms and the schedule for issuing the update. A draft form was distributed for comments which are to be provided to Ms. Minerva by the end of the year. A summer release of the updated Action agenda is anticipated.

Ms. Minerva confirmed the formal distribution of the Action agenda including to the members of the COG Board.

**Funding Strategy** - Ms. Minerva has circulated a final draft of the Funding Strategy to the Funding Subcommittee, has received their comments and anticipates a meeting the week of December 15. Mr. Pattison noted that the strategy is organized by restoration goals and provides a “business plan” approach.

This triggered a discussion regarding any opportunities afforded by the stimulus package discussions taking place on Capitol Hill. Generally the funders appear to be looking for projects that are ready to go within 120 days of approval of a stimulus package. Ms. Stoner noted that NRDC is working with American Rivers compiling the “kind of projects” to be done, not necessarily specific projects. She noted that it will be important to note the jobs impacts. She has received a good list of projects from Montgomery County and from the District of Columbia and anticipates getting a similar list from Prince George’s county. She further noted that any water resources stimulus program is likely to be implemented through the existing State revolving Loan Fund (SRF) framework, even if outright grants are involved.

**Communication Strategy** - Ms. Minerva reported that she received good comments on the draft Communication Strategy that provide good direction. She will distribute an updated version by January 15, 2009 based on these comments.

She is also working on a grant with the Chesapeake Bay Trust to get professional web design services for revising the Anacostia Partnership web site. Mr. Pattison requested that COG staff show to the AWSC member the recently revamped/redesigned Anacosita.net website. He commented that this was very good job completed by COG staff. Ms. Minerva noted that Aubin Maynard of the COG staff has made some major upgrades and improvements, based in part on AWCAC comments. Mr. Galli commented that the process incorporated suggestions/comments from both AWCAC and subwatershed web master members. He reported that the site has a forum feature that provides a potentially broader and more powerful communication venue than the current AWCAC list serve. Both Mr. Pattison and Dr. Barber were highly complimentary of Mr. Maynard's efforts.

**Expanded Membership** - Based on the recommendation of the membership Subcommittee (Ms. Minerva, Ms. Howard, Mr. Capacasa & Mr. Gardiner), Ms. Minerva recommended approval of Mr. Eric Siegel to fill the "business slot" of the Steering Committee. Mr. Siegel is affiliated with the Cohen companies and serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Capital Riverfront Business Improvement District in Washington, DC. He will provide links to the development community and has a strong interest in social justice issues. Among other interests, he wishes to bring people to the river and show the economic value of green buildings.

Ms. Howard moved approval of his joining the Steering Committee; Dr. Barber seconded the motion. The motion was approved unanimously.

Ms. Minerva & Dr. Graham will prepare a letter of welcome and arrange for a briefing.

**Miscellany** – Ms. Minerva reported that there is a new book out: "Anacostia: The Death and Life of an American River" by John R. Wennersten [available in paperback on the web for \$15.00.]

She also noted that the MOU with the University of Maryland has expired. Based on discussions with Ms. Hearle, this provides an opportunity to renew the agreement, strengthen the University as a "green" example and better access the University's expertise.

Ms. Minerva and Ms. Hearle will prepare a recommendation for consideration by the Steering Committee at the February 26, 2009 meeting.

Ms. Stoner offered her assistance in developing the content. Dr. Phelps advocated that the partnership explore a similar relationship with UDC.

**Green Infrastructure Workshop** – Dr. Graham provided an update, including a draft agenda, on the workshop "Promoting Redevelopment LID in the Anacostia Watershed." This will be a two-day workshop on March 26-27, 2009 at COG.

Ms. Stoner recommended that it focus on "opportunities" and feature LEED and appropriate legislation. Dr. Barber suggested inclusion of some discussion of the impact of climate change. Ms. Howard indicated there was a need to identify "who should attend." The workshop should also be coordinated with other related workshops being sponsored by EPA in the region. Jon Capacasa stated that EPA would disseminate the agenda, when available, to adjunct workshops that will be held in Richmond, VA.

**XVIII. Management Committee Report**.....Ken Yetman, COG

**Update on the Trust Fund Grant Application** - Mr. Pattison reported that many applications were received for Trust Fund support, far in excess of available funds. The program began with a \$25 million budget and MDE alone received requests totaling \$43.5 million. The applications have been reviewed by the state's Science Committee. However, the overall budget has been reduced to \$13.2 million and may be further reduced. Mr. Yetman reported that the Partnership's Sligo Creek proposal was well-received and got high marks for being a two-county proposal. Ms. Minerva noted that the Management Committee membership is disappointed that the Anacostia is only a "moderate priority" watershed.

**Annual Reporting** - Mr. Yetman reported on the Management Committee's recommendations for an eight-step Annual Reporting Process as requested by the Steering Committee. The eight steps were included in a 1-page handout. **Action:** Augment the one page draft process augmented by a schedule and tied to the annual Action Agenda schedule.

**Post 2010 Goals** – The Post 2010 goals were discussed together with the Restoration Plan "Interim Framework Report." See that agenda item, below.

**Monitoring** - Mr. Galli provided an update on the monitoring recommendations prepared by the Restoration Potential Workgroup as summarized in a handout dated 11/25/08. The following key points were raised:

- It does not appear that an EPA grant will be available to support ICPRB's Annual Spring River Herring "strength of Run" Monitoring in 2009.
- The 20 station clam bioassay monitoring data work has been completed and is expected to be submitted to MDE by the end of the year. [Dr. Phelps would like to work with MDE on this.]
- There is an emerging consensus that the Partnership needs a comprehensive, prioritized Monitoring Strategy, tied into the restoration goals, to replace the existing patchwork of independent agency programs, priorities, funding strategies and reporting.
  - We need a monitoring plan to keep on top of progress. – Karimi
  - We scramble for grants; don't have a baseline set of dollars nor an overall monitoring plan that can be linked to goals. – Minerva
  - Each jurisdiction has its own priorities and budget realities. We end up doing an annual patch job. – Yetman
  - Provide an overall plan, tied into the Funding Strategy, which identifies costs, gaps and funding needs. – Pattison
  - Address both short- and long-term needs as well as staffing requirements. – Galli
  - Let's prepare a 5-year Monitoring Plan (Yetman) and have it ready to review at the February 26, 2009 Steering committee meeting (Pattison). [John Galli indicated that that schedule could be met.]
- Dr. Karimi observed that monitoring is symptomatic of the history of Anacostia restoration in that it often is a cobbling together of various agency actions.
- Jon Capacasa noted that EPA can not commit funds for a 5-year monitoring plan.
- Mr. Pattison noted that the Action Agenda, the Anacostia Restoration Plan and the Funding Strategy, if packaged together correctly, will be the first time that the collective needs have been identified.
- **Action:** A recommended comprehensive Monitoring Plan, reflecting the points raised during discussion, will be prepared by the Management Committee and presented to the Steering Committee at its February 26, 2009 meeting.

**WRDA Funding** – Mr. Yetman raised the question of what the Partnership wishes to do with the \$20 million WRDA authorization. The following key points were raised:

- Ms. Minerva will be meeting with Congressional staff on December 16 and can discuss the appropriateness of re-submitting the \$9 million worth of projects previously submitted.
- Should WRDA be considered to support toxics remediation projects, such as capping?
- Mr. Pace noted that WRDA is for cost shared projects; a local match is essential. It can be used for stormwater projects.

- Ms. Minerva noted that preparing a list will have to be done offline as the submittal is probably in late February and the Steering committee does not meet until February 26. She will take responsibility for convening a conference call to work on developing the list.

**XIX. AWCAC Report** ..... Mary Barber

Dr. Barber reported on the recent successful Stewardship Workshop that AWCAC, with COG assistance, conducted at Brookside Gardens (in the Northwest Branch watershed). There were 47 attendees including County Council members Praisner (Montgomery) and Dernoga (Prince George's). AWCAC's next workshop is on March 7; a final workshop, related to CSOs and SSOs has yet to be scheduled. AWCAC will be working with the Corps of Engineers on the public outreach aspects of the restoration plan.

**XX. Progress on the Restoration Plan** ..... Robert Pace, USACE

**Presentation** - Mr. Pace provided a PowerPoint progress report on the "Anacostia Watershed Restoration Plan: Interim Framework Report." Key aspects of the report were:

- The ARP Interim Framework Report was released on November 21, 2008. It provided the Framework process; baseline conditions and the Sligo Creek Subwatershed application.
- Overall, it identified 11 categories of need (e.g., tidal wetlands, sediment and fish migration blockages) and 8 restoration strategies (e.g., stormwater management and stream restoration).
- He described the reason for starting with the Sligo Creek watershed, and the prioritization process for project prioritization.
- From the initial inventory of 171 projects, 99 are recommended as "Potential Structural Reduction Actions."
- The analysis indicated that the 84 identified structural stormwater retrofit projects are estimated to reduce TSS, TN & TP by 10%, 7% and 8% respectively.
- The plan anticipates additional actions beyond retrofits, such as street sweeping and homeowner-based BMPs like raingardens.
- The next steps are:
  - Congressional Staff briefing – December 2008
  - Development of the 2020 Target Setting Process – 2008 through 2009
  - Complete analysis of the remaining 14 subwatersheds – 2009
  - Review and prioritization of the projects for the entire Anacostia watershed – 2009
  - Complete Draft ARP – September 2009
  - Public Draft Final ARP – November 2009
  - Final ARP – 2010
  - Adoption of 2020 Indicators and targets – 2010

**Discussion** – The following points were among those made in the ensuing discussion:

- We need to be sure the data exists to do the "beyond the basics" analysis to include implementation of a new road code; redevelopment requirements; and commercial/industrial retrofits.
- We need to be careful in how the date for restoration is framed. Is there any particular significance to 2020?
- We need to show the scenarios that capture restoration up to 2020, and show what we need to do beyond 2020.
- The nonstructural activities seem to be somewhat buried. What about private property actions, land acquisition and reforestation?

- It appears that reliance on traditional approaches alone will not get the job done.
- The Action Agenda can serve as the implementation plan for moving forward.
- Implementation should be tied to the Funding Strategy.

**Post 2010 Goals** – The process for developing the post 2010 goals was discussed at the November 17, 2008 Management Committee meeting and distilled to a 10 step process culminating in Steering Committee approval of the new 2020 indicators and targets in 2010. The following points were raised during the discussion:

- The 6 basic goals remain relevant.
- It was decided to report on 12 key indicators with at least one indicator per goal.
- Given the other activities (MS4 implementation, completion of the ARP by November 2009, etc.) it makes sense to adopt the targets in 2010.
- What about post 2020? We need a fix on when the river will be “fixed.”
- Don’t lose sight of reporting progress at the small stream level.
- It’s important to have interim goals – not just 2020 goals – to measure ongoing progress.
- At some point we’ll need to bring in the Leadership Council.
- Mr. Pattison committed to explaining in some detail the “synergistic” aspects of the ARP, the Funding Strategy, the Action Agenda and the Communications Strategy. It’s significant that these are all coming together.

## **XXI. Standing Update Reports**

**Toxics Reduction** - Mr. Capacasa provided an update on the status of the toxics reduction efforts. The “White Paper” will be complete in time for the February 26, 2009 Steering Committee meeting. EPA is examining various management options. COG and Ms. Minerva will assist EPA in convening small stakeholder sessions.

**Maryland Stormwater Regulations** - Mr. Pattison reported that MDE is conducting a hearing on the proposed regulations, pursuant to the Stormwater Management Act of 2007, on December 8, 2008. He expects the regulations to be final in early 2009. Ms. Minerva may testify on behalf of AWCAC.

**Trash** – The team is still in the data gathering stage with a goal of having a full season-by-season picture of baseline conditions.

Tracy Bowen of the Alice Ferguson Foundation thanked MDE and the participating jurisdictions for their ongoing efforts. She noted that the Trash Advisory Council met in November and that Maryland Senator Mike Miller was there for much of the meeting. The Advisory Council would like to develop the “real cost of trash;” they would like COG to compile information about trash legislation; and reported that the Trash Summit for 2009 will probably take place in the fall. She is also advocating that the revision of the Prince George’s County MS4 permit include a major trash reduction component similar to that of the recently approved Montgomery County permit.

## **XXII. Adjourn**

After reviewing the action items (see the table on p. 2) the meeting was adjourned about 2:30 p.m.